

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,460	01/27/2004	Davide Chiola	IR-2536 (2-3568)	3182
2352	7590 04/22/2005		EXAM	INER
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN			DANG, TRUNG Q	
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403		8	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11211 10141	,		2823	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/766,460	CHIOLA, DAVIDE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Trung Dang	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	or the continue copies not receive	u.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-18, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Temple (US 4,941,026).

The rejection is maintained as of record and repeated herein.

With reference to Fig. 4 taken in conjunction with Fig. 2A for the purpose of better understanding the rejection, Temple teaches a trenched Schottky diode device comprising:

a semiconductive substrate 12a of a first conductivity type and a first concentration (N+) of dopants (Fig. 2A);

a semiconductive layer 12 of said first conductivity type and a second concentration (N) of dopants, said first concentration of dopants being higher than said second concentration of dopants (Fig. 4);

a plurality of trenches 24 extending to a depth inside said semiconductive

Application/Control Number: 10/766,460

Art Unit: 2823

layer, each of said trenches including opposing sidewalls and a bottom, and each being adjacent at least one mesa (Fig. 4 and col.11, lines 58-63); a first insulation layer 36 of a first thickness on each sidewall of each of said trenches (Fig. 2A);

Page 3

a second insulation layer 38 of a second thickness on said bottom of each of said trenches, said second thickness being greater than said first thickness (Fig. 2A and col.13, lines 35-47);

a schottky barrier in schottky contact with said mesas (col. 21, lines 48-52); a first electrical contact 23 (Fig. 4) in contact with said schottky barrier; and a second electrical contact 11 (Fig. 2A) in electrical contact with said semiconductive substrate.

Note that the schottky barrier is a depletion region created at the interface of the metal 23 and the semiconductor substrate, and metal 23 is in contact with the depletion layer or the schottky barrier.

For claims 3 and 4, Fig. 4 shows conductive polysilicon electrodes 40 disposed in each trench. For the limitation regarding the first contact being electrically connected to the polysilicon electrodes, see col. 18, lines 21-24 for the teaching that metallization layer is formed to interconnect the regions of a plurality

Art Unit: 2823

of device cells. Note that the metallization layer connects the first contact with the polysilicon electrode in a trenched Schottky diode device.

For claims 5-6, see col. 8, lines 14-24 and col. 17, line 34.

For claims 9-10, see the table in col. 14.

For claim 12, it is noted that the method of which the first or the second oxide layer is formed carries no patentable weight in a device claim since it is well settle that the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

For the method claims, Temple teaches a method for manufacturing a trenched schottky diode comprising:

providing a semiconductive body 12 (Fig.4);

forming a plurality of trenches 24 in said semiconductive body, each trench having opposing sidewalls, and a bottom, and each being adjacent a mesa; covering said sidewalls of said trenches with an oxidation preventing layer of silicon nitride;

forming an oxide layer at the bottom of each of said trenches (col. 12, lines 46-64); and

forming a schottky barrier layer in schottky contact with each of said mesas (col. 21, lines 48-52).

Art Unit: 2823

For claims 14 and 18, see col. 12, lines 41-45 for the teaching of forming an oxide layer (oxide layer 36 in Fig. 2A) prior to forming the silicon nitride oxidation preventing layer.

For claims 16 and 17, see col.17, lines 37-64 for the teaching of etching the trench using a trench mask comprises a silicon nitride layer.

3. Claims 1-8 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hijzen et al. (US 6,441,454).

The rejection is maintained as of record and repeated herein.

With reference to Fig. 3, Hijzen teaches a trenched Schottky diode device comprising:

a semiconductive substrate 60 of a first conductivity type and a first concentration (N+) of dopants;

a semiconductive layer 4a of said first conductivity type and a second concentration (N or N-) of dopants, said first concentration of dopants being higher than said second concentration of dopants;

a plurality of trenches extending to a depth inside said semiconductive layer, each of said trenches including opposing sidewalls and a bottom, and each being adjacent at least one mesa;

a first insulation layer 21x of a first thickness on each sidewall of each of said trenches;

a second insulation layer 21y of a second thickness on said bottom of each of said trenches, said second thickness being greater than said first thickness; a schottky barrier 43 in schottky contact with said mesas; a first electrical contact 3 in contact with said schottky barrier; and a second electrical contact 34 in electrical contact with said semiconductive substrate.

For claims 2 and 12, it is it is noted that the method of which the first or the second oxide layer is formed carries no patentable weight in a device claim since it is well settle that the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

For claims 3 and 4, see conductive polysilicon 31 disposed in each trench and electrically connected to the first electrical contact 3.

For the limitations of claims 5, 6, and 11, see col. 4, lines 6-28.

For claims 7 and 8, although the perimeter trench 18 (corresponding to the claimed termination trench) of the embodiment depicted Fig. 3 does not have an outer sidewall, Hijzen discloses that alternatives features shown in one embodiment may be adopted in another embodiment (col. 5, lines 18-23). Thus,

Application/Control Number: 10/766,460

Art Unit: 2823

the embodiment of Fig.1 having the perimeter trench 18 bounded by inner and outer sidewalls includes the feature regarding thin oxide layer 21x and thick oxide layer 21y of the Fig.3 embodiment.

Page 7

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-10 and 13-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hijzen et al. in view of Temple, both cited above.

The rejection is maintained as of record and repeated herein.

With reference to Fig. 3, Hijzen teaches a trenched Schottky diode device comprising:

providing semiconductive substrate 60 of a first conductivity type and a first concentration (N+) of dopants;

forming an epitaxial semiconductive layer 4a of said first conductivity type and a second concentration (N or N-) of dopants, said first concentration of dopants being higher than said second concentration of dopants;

Art Unit: 2823

forming a plurality of trenches extending to a depth inside said semiconductive layer, each of said trenches including opposing sidewalls and a bottom, and each being adjacent at least one mesa;

forming a first insulation layer 21x of a first thickness on each sidewall of each of said trenches;

forming a second insulation layer 21y of a second thickness on said bottom of each of said trenches, said second thickness being greater than said first thickness;

forming a schottky barrier 43 in schottky contact with said mesas; forming a first electrical contact 3 in contact with said schottky barrier; and forming a second electrical contact 34 in electrical contact with said semiconductive substrate.

Hijzen differs from the claims in the step of forming an oxidation preventing layer covering sidewalls of the trenches. Temple teaches a method for forming a trenched Schottky diode in which a gate oxide layer, which is thin at the sidewalls and thick at the bottom of the trench, is formed by covering the sidewalls of the trench with a nitride layer (corresponding to the claimed oxidation preventing layer) while exposing the bottom of the trench and then forming the thick oxide layer at said bottom (col. 12, lines 46-64). It would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2823

ordinary skill in the art to modify Hijzen's teaching by forming the thin oxide layer 21x and the thick oxide layer 21y utilizing the Temple's technique as described above because such method of forming gate oxide with varying thickness is known in the art, and the employment of a known process to made the same would have been within the level of one skilled in the art.

For claims 14 and 18, see col. 12, lines 41-45 in Temple for the teaching of forming an oxide layer (oxide layer 36 in Fig. 2A) prior to forming the silicon nitride oxidation preventing layer.

For claims 16 and 17, see col.17, lines 37-64 in Temple for the teaching of etching the trench using a trench mask comprises a silicon nitride layer.

For claims 19 and 20, see Hijzen, Figs. 3 and 5, wherein trench mask 50 of silicon nitride, which is rendered obvious in light of Temple 's teaching as noted above, is used to etch both inner trench 11 and perimeter trench 18.

For device claims 9-10, Hijzen's device described above taken with Temple's teaching disclosed in the table in col. 14 would render the claims obvious because such numerical values associated with the thickness of oxide layer 21x and 21y are desirable to ensure high voltage breakdown of the device as taught by Temple (col. 14, lines 27-31).

Art Unit: 2823

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/04/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to Temple's reference, applicant argues that Temple shows a mesa with more than one conductivity in contrast with the amended claim 1 that is now calls for each mesa to be of one conductivity only. The Examiner disagrees. As disclosed in col. 21, lines 48-52, the structure of Fig. 4 can be formed to be a Schottky diode when the first and second layers 12 and 14 are of the same type conductivity. Thus, the mesa in Fig. 4 is of one conductivity only. Applicant further argues that Temple does not show "said second insulation layer terminating at and extending along sidewall only for said second thickness, and said first insulation extending along the remainder of said sidewall". The Examiner disagrees. As shown in Fig. 2A, insulation layer 32 includes a bottom portion 38 of a second thickness and a sidewall portion 34 having thickness of approximately equal to the thickness of portion 38 (col. 13, lines 39-43). Thus, the sidewall portion 34 extending along each sidewall only for the second thickness. The insulation portion 36 (corresponding to the claimed first insulation layer) extending the remainder of the sidewall. As for claim 13, the first etch step of trench 24 partially into layer 12 (col. 12, lines 38-41) reads on the claimed limitation

Art Unit: 2823

"forming a plurality of trenches...in a single step..." Since the claim employs "comprising" format which do not exclude further trench etching step, Temple's process is therefore anticipating the claim. For the limitation regarding each mesa being of one conductivity only, see the Examiner's response noted above.

For rejections based on Hijzen's reference, record does not show any argument from applicant.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2823

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trung Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1857. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trung Dang

Primary Examiner

lung Dang

Art Unit 2823